

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 5/1263 5190 10/018,641 06/05/2002 Wolfgang Joerg **EXAMINER** 28505 05/24/2004 7590 THEISEN, MARY LYNN F **BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPORATION** 900 RIDGEBURY ROAD PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT P.O. BOX 368 RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/018,641	JOERG ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
	Mary Lynn F. Theisen	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3)□			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 15-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-19 and 21-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 20,28 and 29 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 June 2002</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 6/5/2002.		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/018,641

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim19 and 22 -29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Regarding claims 19 and 22-29, the phrase "e.g." and "preferably" renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 4. Claims 24 and 25 recite the limitation "the delivery line". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 15, 16, 17, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Derleth et al.
- 7. Derleth et al discloses an ultrasonic or piezoelectric nozzle for forming pellets.

 The nozzles are metering devices which are operated under cyclic control (ultrasonic or

piezoelectric) to divide a medium into fragments that are expelled. The fragments have a ballistic trajectory (see Fig. 1).

Page 3

- 8. Claims 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reinhard.
- 9. Reinhard discloses a process and apparatus of forming pellets see Fig. 2). Material (1) to be pelleted is fed to a metering device (11,12,13). Tube 11 is moved cyclically with an oscillator and mechanical system (column 5, lines 27+) which divides the material into fragments that are expelled. The temperature is controlled (column 4, lines 1-5).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 20, 28 and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 24-27 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not suggest the following aspects of pelleting 1) coating during ejection, 2) that the shutoff valve is pushed into the delivery line, 3) having a discharge device downstream of the metering device.

Art Unit: 1732

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Lynn F. Theisen whose telephone number is 571-272-1210. The examiner can normally be reached on Thursday and Friday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mary Lynn Theisen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1732

5/20/64

mlt